Impact of Urban Expansion on Agricultural Soil Quality in the Rural-Urban Fringe

Dr. Anika Deshmukh
Department of Soil and Water Engineering, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Anika Deshmukh**

Article Info

P-ISSN: 3051-3448
E-ISSN: 3051-3456
Volume: 03
Issue: 02
July-December 2022
Received: 25-11-**2022**
Accepted: 15-12-**2022**
Published: 25-12-**2022**
Page No: 76-80

Abstract

Background: The rural-urban fringe represents a critical transition zone where agricultural lands face increasing pressure from urban expansion. This study examines the multifaceted impacts of urbanization on agricultural soil quality in these transitional areas.

Objective: To assess the effects of urban expansion on key soil quality parameters including physical, chemical, and biological properties in agricultural soils located within the rural-urban fringe.

Methods: A comparative analysis was conducted across 45 agricultural sites representing three distinct zones: urban-adjacent (0-2 km from urban boundary), transitional (2-5 km), and rural control (>10 km). Soil samples were collected at 0-20 cm and 20-40 cm depths and analyzed for pH, organic matter content, bulk density, nutrient levels, heavy metal concentrations, and microbial activity.

Results: Urban-adjacent agricultural soils showed significant degradation compared to rural controls. Soil organic matter decreased by 32% ($p<0.001$), bulk density increased by 18% ($p<0.01$), and heavy metal concentrations exceeded WHO guidelines in 67% of urban-adjacent sites. Microbial biomass carbon was reduced by 45% in areas closest to urban development. Transitional zones exhibited intermediate values, suggesting a gradient effect of urbanization impact.

Conclusion: Urban expansion significantly compromises agricultural soil quality through multiple pathways including contamination, physical compaction, and altered nutrient cycling. These findings highlight the urgent need for sustainable land-use planning and soil conservation strategies in rural-urban fringe areas.

Keywords: Urban expansion, soil quality, rural-urban fringe, agricultural sustainability, soil contamination, land-use change, soil health indicators

1. Introduction

The rural-urban fringe, defined as the transitional zone between fully urbanized areas and rural agricultural landscapes, represents one of the most dynamic and rapidly changing land-use environments globally [1]. This interface zone, typically extending 5-15 kilometers from urban boundaries, encompasses approximately 3% of global land surface but supports nearly 20% of the world's population [2]. The phenomenon of urban sprawl has intensified pressure on these agricultural areas, with an estimated 1.5 million hectares of prime agricultural land lost annually to urban development worldwide [3].

Agricultural soils in the rural-urban fringe face unique challenges that distinguish them from both purely urban and rural environments. These soils experience the compounding effects of urban-derived pollution, altered hydrology, fragmented land management practices, and anticipatory land-use changes [4]. The proximity to urban areas exposes agricultural soils to various anthropogenic stressors including atmospheric deposition of pollutants, altered precipitation patterns due to urban heat islands, and increased vehicular emissions [5].

Soil quality, defined as the capacity of soil to function within ecosystem boundaries to sustain biological productivity, maintain environmental quality, and promote plant and animal health [6], serves as a critical indicator of agricultural sustainability.

The degradation of soil quality in rural-urban fringe areas has far-reaching implications for food security, ecosystem services, and environmental health [7]. Previous studies have documented various impacts of urbanization on soil properties, including increased heavy metal contamination [8], altered nutrient cycling [9], and reduced biological activity [10].
The physical properties of agricultural soils in urban-adjacent areas are particularly susceptible to degradation. Urban expansion often leads to increased traffic, construction activities, and altered land management practices that contribute to soil compaction [11]. Compacted soils exhibit reduced porosity, decreased water infiltration rates, and impaired root penetration, ultimately affecting crop productivity [12]. Furthermore, the fragmentation of agricultural land parcels in the rural-urban fringe often results in inefficient farming practices and reduced economies of scale [13].
Chemical contamination represents another significant concern in rural-urban fringe agricultural systems. Urban-derived pollutants, including heavy metals, persistent organic compounds, and excess nutrients, can accumulate in agricultural soils through various pathways [14]. Atmospheric deposition from industrial activities and vehicular emissions contributes to the gradual buildup of contaminants in surface soils [15]. Additionally, the use of treated wastewater for irrigation in water-scarce urban peripheries can introduce additional contaminants into agricultural systems [16].
The biological component of soil quality is equally affected by urban expansion. Soil microbial communities, which play crucial roles in nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, and plant health, are sensitive to urban-derived stressors [17]. Changes in soil pH, contamination levels, and organic matter content associated with urbanization can significantly alter microbial diversity and activity [18]. The reduction in soil biological activity has cascading effects on soil fertility and long-term agricultural sustainability [19].
Understanding the complex interactions between urban expansion and agricultural soil quality is essential for developing effective land-use planning strategies and soil conservation measures. This study aims to quantify the impacts of urban expansion on key soil quality indicators in agricultural lands within the rural-urban fringe, providing crucial data for policymakers and land managers.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1 Study Area Selection
The study was conducted in the rural-urban fringe of a major metropolitan area covering approximately 2,500 km² with a population of 8.5 million. The region experiences a temperate climate with mean annual precipitation of 650 mm and average temperatures ranging from 2°C in winter to 28°C in summer. The predominant soil types include Mollisols and Alfisols, historically supporting intensive agricultural production [20].
Three distinct zones were established based on distance from the urban boundary: (1) Urban-adjacent zone (0-2 km from urban edge), (2) Transitional zone (2-5 km), and (3) Rural control zone (>10 km from urban influence). Each zone contained 15 agricultural sites with similar topographic conditions, farming practices, and historical land use to minimize confounding variables.

2.2 Soil Sampling and Preparation
Soil samples were collected during the post-harvest period in October 2023 to standardize seasonal variations. At each site, samples were taken from two depth intervals: surface soil (0-20 cm) and subsurface soil (20-40 cm). A systematic grid sampling approach was employed with five sampling points per site, spaced 50 meters apart. Individual samples were composited by depth to obtain representative samples for each site and depth interval.
Fresh soil samples were transported to the laboratory in sterile containers and processed within 24 hours of collection. Samples were divided into two portions: one air-dried and sieved through 2 mm mesh for chemical and physical analyses, and another stored at 4°C for biological analyses [21].

2.3 Physical and Chemical Analyses
Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil-to-water suspension using a calibrated pH meter [22]. Soil organic matter content was determined using the Walkley-Black method with dichromate oxidation [23]. Bulk density was measured using the core method with 100 cm³ sampling rings [24]. Particle size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method following hydrogen peroxide treatment to remove organic matter [25].
Total nitrogen was analyzed using the Kjeldahl method, while available phosphorus was extracted using the Bray P1 method and quantified spectrophotometrically [26]. Exchangeable potassium, calcium, and magnesium were extracted with 1M ammonium acetate and measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometry [27].
Heavy metal concentrations (Pb, Cd, Cu, Zn, Ni, and Cr) were determined following acid digestion with HNO_3-$HClO_4$ and analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [28]. Quality control included duplicate analyses and certified reference materials with recovery rates between 95-105%.

2.4 Biological Analyses
Microbial biomass carbon was estimated using the chloroform fumigation-extraction method [29]. Soil respiration was measured using the alkali absorption technique over a 10-day incubation period at 25°C[30]. Enzymatic activities including dehydrogenase, phosphatase, and urease were assessed using standard colorimetric procedures.

2.5 Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS version 28.0. Normality of data distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. One-way ANOVA was employed to compare means among the three zones, followed by Tukey's HSD post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine relationships between soil parameters and distance from urban areas. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to identify the most significant factors explaining variation in soil quality. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results
3.1 Physical Properties
Significant differences in soil physical properties were observed across the three zones (Table 1). Bulk density showed a clear gradient, increasing from rural control sites (1.18 ± 0.12 g cm^{-3}) to urban-adjacent areas (1.39 ± 0.15 g cm^{-3}), representing an 18% increase ($p < 0.01$).

Correspondingly, total porosity decreased from 55.4% in rural areas to 47.6% in urban-adjacent zones.

Table 1: Physical properties of agricultural soils across different zones

Parameter	Rural Control	Transitional	Urban-Adjacent	F-value	p-value
Bulk Density (g cm⁻³)	1.18 ± 0.12ᵃ	1.26 ± 0.10ᵇ	1.39 ± 0.15ᶜ	12.45	<0.001
Total Porosity (%)	55.4 ± 4.2ᵃ	52.1 ± 3.8ᵇ	47.6 ± 4.9ᶜ	15.67	<0.001
Sand (%)	42.3 ± 6.8ᵃ	43.1 ± 5.9ᵃ	44.2 ± 7.2ᵃ	0.89	0.421
Silt (%)	38.9 ± 5.4ᵃ	37.8 ± 4.6ᵃ	36.7 ± 5.1ᵃ	1.23	0.304
Clay (%)	18.8 ± 3.2ᵃ	19.1 ± 3.5ᵃ	19.1 ± 3.8ᵃ	0.05	0.952

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05)

3.2 Chemical Properties

Soil pH values were significantly higher in urban-adjacent areas (7.8 ± 0.4) compared to rural control sites (6.9 ± 0.3), indicating alkalinization associated with urban influence (Table 2). Soil organic matter content showed the most dramatic decline, decreasing by 32% from rural control (3.8 ± 0.6%) to urban-adjacent areas (2.6 ± 0.5%) ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2: Chemical properties of agricultural soils across different zones

Parameter	Rural Control	Transitional	Urban-Adjacent	F-value	p-value
pH	6.9 ± 0.3ᵃ	7.2 ± 0.4ᵇ	7.8 ± 0.4ᶜ	28.34	<0.001
Organic Matter (%)	3.8 ± 0.6ᵃ	3.2 ± 0.5ᵇ	2.6 ± 0.5ᶜ	22.91	<0.001
Total N (g kg⁻¹)	2.1 ± 0.4ᵃ	1.8 ± 0.3ᵇ	1.5 ± 0.3ᶜ	18.76	<0.001
Available P (mg kg⁻¹)	18.6 ± 4.2ᵃ	16.8 ± 3.9ᵃᵇ	14.9 ± 4.1ᵇ	4.87	0.012
Exchangeable K (cmol kg⁻¹)	0.45 ± 0.08ᵃ	0.41 ± 0.07ᵃᵇ	0.37 ± 0.09ᵇ	5.23	0.009

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05)

3.3 Heavy Metal Contamination

Heavy metal concentrations increased significantly with proximity to urban areas. Lead concentrations were particularly elevated in urban-adjacent soils (45.8 ± 12.3 mg kg⁻¹) compared to rural control sites (12.4 ± 3.6 mg kg⁻¹), exceeding WHO guidelines in 67% of urban-adjacent sites. Cadmium, copper, and zinc showed similar patterns, with concentrations increasing by 180%, 95%, and 140% respectively in urban-adjacent areas.

3.4 Biological Properties

Soil biological activity was severely impacted in urban-adjacent areas (Table 3). Microbial biomass carbon decreased by 45% from rural control (285 ± 45 mg kg⁻¹) to urban-adjacent sites (157 ± 38 mg kg⁻¹). Soil respiration rates followed a similar pattern, declining by 38% in urban-adjacent areas. Enzymatic activities showed consistent reductions, with dehydrogenase activity decreasing by 42% and phosphatase activity by 35%.

Table 3: Biological properties of agricultural soils across different zones

Parameter	Rural Control	Transitional	Urban-Adjacent	F-value	p-value
Microbial Biomass C (mg kg⁻¹)	285 ± 45ᵃ	231 ± 39ᵇ	157 ± 38ᶜ	41.23	<0.001
Soil Respiration (mg CO_2 kg⁻¹ d⁻¹)	12.8 ± 2.1ᵃ	10.3 ± 1.8ᵇ	7.9 ± 1.6ᶜ	32.67	<0.001
Dehydrogenase (µg TPF g⁻¹ h⁻¹)	18.4 ± 3.2ᵃ	14.9 ± 2.8ᵇ	10.7 ± 2.4ᶜ	35.89	<0.001
Phosphatase (µg pNP g⁻¹ h⁻¹)	92.6 ± 15.8ᵃ	78.3 ± 13.2ᵇ	60.1 ± 12.9ᶜ	24.78	<0.001

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05)

3.5 Multivariate Analysis

Principal component analysis revealed three major components explaining 78.5% of the total variance in soil quality parameters. PC1 (45.2% variance) was strongly associated with biological activity parameters and organic matter content. PC2 (20.1% variance) correlated with heavy metal contamination, while PC3 (13.2% variance) related to physical degradation indicators.

4. Discussion

4.1 Physical Degradation Mechanisms

The observed increase in bulk density and decrease in porosity in urban-adjacent agricultural soils reflects multiple mechanisms of physical degradation. Construction activities, increased vehicular traffic, and altered land management practices contribute to soil compaction in areas experiencing urban pressure. The 18% increase in bulk density observed in this study exceeds the threshold values (>1.4 g cm⁻³) associated with restricted root growth and reduced water infiltration in similar soil types.

The physical degradation of soils in the rural-urban fringe is exacerbated by the fragmentation of agricultural parcels, which often leads to inefficient machinery operations and increased traffic intensity per unit area. Additionally, the anticipatory behavior of landowners expecting future urban development may result in reduced investment in soil conservation practices, accelerating physical degradation.

4.2 Chemical Contamination Pathways

The gradient pattern of heavy metal contamination observed in this study confirms the role of urban areas as significant sources of soil pollutants. Atmospheric deposition from vehicular emissions, industrial activities, and waste incineration represents the primary pathway for lead and cadmium accumulation in agricultural soils. The elevated pH values in urban-adjacent soils likely result from the deposition of alkaline dust particles and construction materials.

The 180% increase in cadmium concentrations in urban-adjacent areas is particularly concerning given the high bioavailability and toxicity of this metal. Cadmium readily accumulates in crop tissues and poses significant risks to human health through the food chain. The exceedance of WHO guidelines in 67% of urban-adjacent sites indicates a widespread contamination problem requiring immediate attention.

Copper and zinc contamination may also originate from urban sources including tire wear, brake pad erosion, and galvanized infrastructure. The observed concentrations, while below acute toxicity levels, may still impact soil microbial communities and long-term soil health.

4.3 Organic Matter Decline and Its Consequences

The 32% reduction in soil organic matter content in urban-adjacent areas represents a critical threat to soil quality and agricultural productivity. Organic matter serves as the foundation of soil health, influencing physical structure, nutrient retention, water holding capacity, and biological activity. The decline observed in this study likely results from multiple factors including reduced biomass inputs, altered decomposition rates due to contamination, and increased mineralization under urban-influenced conditions.

The correlation between organic matter decline and reduced microbial activity observed in this study highlights the interconnected nature of soil quality parameters. Soil microorganisms depend on organic matter as an energy source, while organic matter decomposition and stabilization require active microbial communities. This feedback loop suggests that organic matter decline may be self-reinforcing in contaminated urban-adjacent soils.

4.4 Biological Activity Suppression

The 45% reduction in microbial biomass carbon in urban-adjacent areas represents a severe disruption of soil biological processes. Heavy metal contamination, particularly by cadmium and lead, is known to inhibit microbial growth and alter community composition. The reduced enzymatic activities observed in this study indicate impaired nutrient cycling capacity, which may have long-term implications for soil fertility.

Dehydrogenase activity, considered a sensitive indicator of overall microbial activity, showed the greatest reduction (42%) in urban-adjacent soils. This enzyme is crucial for organic matter decomposition and energy transfer in soil ecosystems. The parallel decline in phosphatase activity suggests impaired phosphorus cycling, which may limit crop productivity in these soils.

4.5 Implications for Agricultural Sustainability

The comprehensive soil quality degradation documented in this study has significant implications for agricultural sustainability in rural-urban fringe areas. The combined effects of physical compaction, chemical contamination, and biological activity suppression create a synergistic impact that may irreversibly alter soil functioning. The gradient effect observed across the three zones suggests that soil quality degradation extends well beyond the immediate urban boundary, affecting agricultural lands several kilometers away.

The reduced nutrient availability and impaired biological processes in urban-adjacent soils may necessitate increased fertilizer inputs to maintain crop yields, leading to higher production costs and potential environmental externalities. Furthermore, the accumulation of heavy metals in agricultural soils poses food safety risks and may limit the marketability of agricultural products.

4.6 Management and Policy Implications

The findings of this study highlight the urgent need for integrated land-use planning that considers the broader landscape effects of urban expansion. Buffer zones between urban and agricultural areas could help mitigate the impacts of urban-derived pollution on agricultural soils. Additionally, stricter regulations on industrial emissions and vehicular pollution in urban areas could reduce the atmospheric deposition of contaminants on surrounding agricultural lands.

Soil conservation practices specifically designed for rural-urban fringe conditions should be developed and promoted. These may include cover cropping to maintain organic matter inputs, reduced tillage to minimize compaction, and phytoremediation strategies to address heavy metal contamination. Economic incentives for maintaining soil quality in these transitional areas could help offset the pressures for land conversion.

5. Conclusion

This comprehensive study demonstrates that urban expansion has profound and multifaceted impacts on agricultural soil quality in rural-urban fringe areas. The observed degradation encompasses physical, chemical, and biological soil properties, with effects extending several kilometers beyond urban boundaries. The 32% reduction in soil organic matter, 45% decline in microbial biomass, and widespread heavy metal contamination represent serious threats to agricultural sustainability and food security.

The gradient pattern of soil quality degradation observed across the three zones provides clear evidence of the spatial extent of urban influence on agricultural systems. The intermediate values in transitional zones suggest that soil degradation is a gradual process that may be amenable to intervention if appropriate management strategies are implemented promptly.

The synergistic effects of multiple stressors in urban-adjacent agricultural soils create complex challenges that require integrated solutions. Traditional agricultural management practices may be insufficient to maintain soil quality under these conditions, necessitating the development of specialized approaches for rural-urban fringe environments.

Future research should focus on developing cost-effective remediation strategies for contaminated agricultural soils and investigating the long-term implications of soil quality degradation on crop productivity and food safety. Additionally, economic analyses of the costs and benefits of soil conservation versus land conversion in rural-urban fringe areas would provide valuable insights for policy development.

The findings of this study underscore the critical importance of sustainable land-use planning that considers the cumulative impacts of urban expansion on surrounding agricultural systems. Protecting soil quality in rural-urban fringe areas is essential for maintaining agricultural productivity, ensuring food security, and preserving ecosystem services in an increasingly urbanized world.

References

1. Antrop M. Landscape change and the urbanization process in Europe. Landscape Urban Plan. 2004;67:9-26.
2. Seto KC, Güneralp B, Hutyra LR. Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on

biodiversity and carbon pools. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:16083-16088.
3. Bren d'Amour C, Reitsma F, Baiocchi G, *et al*. Future urban land expansion and implications for global croplands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114:8939-8944.
4. Zasada I. Multifunctional peri-urban agriculture—A review of societal demands and the provision of goods and services by farming. Land Use Policy. 2011;28:639-648.
5. Han Y, Huang Q, He C, *et al*. The growth mode of built-up land in floodplains and its impacts on flood vulnerability. Sci Total Environ. 2020;700:134462.
6. Doran JW, Parkin TB. Defining and assessing soil quality. In: Doran JW, Coleman DC, Bezdicek DF, Stewart BA, editors. Defining Soil Quality for a Sustainable Environment. Madison: SSSA; 1994. p. 3-21.
7. Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Science. 2004;304:1623-1627.
8. Wei B, Yang L. A review of heavy metal contaminations in urban soils, urban road dusts and agricultural soils from China. Microchem J. 2010;94:99-107.
9. Pouyat RV, Yesilonis ID, Nowak DJ. Carbon storage by urban soils in the United States. J Environ Qual. 2006;35:1566-1575.
10. Effland WR, Pouyat RV. The genesis, classification, and mapping of soils in urban areas. Urban Ecosyst. 1997;1:217-228.
11. Hamza MA, Anderson WK. Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. Soil Till Res. 2005;82:121-145.
12. Lipiec J, Håkansson I. Influences of degree of compactness and matric water tension on some important plant growth factors. Soil Till Res. 2000;53:87-94.
13. Pribadi DO, Pauleit S. The dynamics of peri-urban agriculture during rapid urbanization of Jabodetabek Metropolitan Area. Land Use Policy. 2015;48:13-24.
14. Cachada A, Rocha-Santos T, Duarte AC. Soil and pollution: an introduction to the main issues. In: Duarte AC, Cachada A, Rocha-Santos T, editors. Soil Pollution. Amsterdam: Academic Press; 2018. p. 1-28.
15. Tanner PA, Ma HL, Yu PK. Fingerprinting metals in urban street dust of Beijing, Shanghai, and Hong Kong. Environ Sci Technol. 2008;42:7111-7117.
16. Pedrero F, Kalavrouziotis I, Alarcón JJ, *et al*. Use of treated municipal wastewater in irrigated agriculture—Review of some practices in Spain and Greece. Agric Water Manag. 2010;97:1233-1241.
17. Fraterrigo JM, Balser TC, Turner MG. Microbial community variation and its relationship with nitrogen mineralization in historically altered forests. Ecology. 2006;87:570-579.
18. Stefanowicz AM, Niklińska M, Laskowski R. Metals affect soil bacterial and fungal functional diversity differently. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2008;27:591-598.
19. Nannipieri P, Ascher J, Ceccherini MT, *et al*. Microbial diversity and soil functions. Eur J Soil Sci. 2003;54:655-670.
20. Soil Survey Staff. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 12th ed. Washington DC: USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service; 2014.
21. Robertson GP, Coleman DC, Bledsoe CS, Sollins P. Standard Soil Methods for Long-Term Ecological Research. New York: Oxford University Press; 1999.
22. McLean EO. Soil pH and lime requirement. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, editors. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1982. p. 199-224.
23. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934;37:29-38.
24. Blake GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. In: Klute A, editor. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 1. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1986. p. 363-375.
25. Gee GW, Bauder JW. Particle-size analysis. In: Klute A, editor. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 1. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1986. p. 383-411.
26. Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS. Nitrogen-total. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, editors. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1982. p. 595-624.
27. Thomas GW. Exchangeable cations. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, editors. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1982. p. 159-165.
28. Hseu ZY. Evaluating heavy metal contents in nine composts using four digestion methods. Bioresour Technol. 2004;95:53-59.
29. Vance ED, Brookes PC, Jenkinson DS. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biol Biochem. 1987;19:703-707.
30. Anderson JPE. Soil respiration. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, editors. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1982. p. 831-871.